IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 9058 of 2002
with
CIVIL APPLICATION No.6425 of 2003
in
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 9058 of 2002

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

RAMESHBHAI T. PATEL & 6 - Petitioner(s)
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & 10 - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :
HL PATEL ADVOCATES for Petitioner(s) : 1 - 7.
GOVERNMENT PLEADER for Respondent(s) : 1 - 2.
MR BA SURTI for Respondent(s) : 3,
RULE SERVED for Respondent(s) : 4 - 11.
MR NK MAJMUDAR for Respondent(s) : 4 - 10.

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

Date : 03/08/2006

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. Mr.Asim Pandya, learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners, states that pending the petition subsequently another notification has been issued by the State Government, whereby the limit of prohibited area is increased to 30 kms from veer-cum-causeway, Tapi river, Shinganpore and, therefore, the petition has become infructuous.
2. Under the circumstances, the petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. I.R., if any, stands vacated.
3. In view of the order passed in the main Special Civil Application, the Civil Application would not survive and hence, disposed of accordingly.

3.8.2006 **(Jayant Patel, J.)**

vinod